16

17

18

12

20

21

30

93

be given to her which often are part of capital, and it has been difficult for us to ascertain it; but we will have as near to an absolutely accurate statement given to you, with the exact sources, as we can get.

Q BY MR. HOGERS: Now, as I understand your testimony, you have no other interests, or no interests in any other trusts, at this time, to your knowledge, other than the one which you set forth in the York Trust Company?

A Not to the best of my knowledge.

Q In the estate of your former husband you were given, by appropriate order in the court, amounts for family allow-ance and amounts for executrix; commissions and extraordinary commissions. Are those items of income also reflected or are they reflected in this statement which you have presented to us?

A I do not know.

MR. RYON: I do not, either, frankly. They may have been overlooked. Where they covered any portion of this year they may have been overlooked. The estate was distributed in February.

HR. ROGERS: Part of those were in 1940.

MR. RYON: Yes, they were.

Q EY MR. ROGERS: During what year were the statutory fees of executrix and the extraordinary compensation as executrix in your former husband's estate paid to you?

A I do not know. Do you know, Hr. Ryon?

18.

12

16

17

19

MR. RYON: I do not. Offhand, my recollection is that they were not paid all at once. My recollection is they were paid -- that certain extraordinary fees were allowed at the end, and certain additional regular fees. Whether there were 5 any allowed before that or not, I would have to check the files. I do not know.

MR. ROGERS: Would you also supply us with that information?

MR. RYON: Yes.

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Referring again to the liabilities set forth in your statement, you set up a claim by the Federal Government to estate tax on the estate of M. von Romberg, approximately \$75,000. Has any deficiency been assessed in that matter, do you know?

A What does that mean?

Q Has the government established what they contend to be the tax due in that estate?

A I think so. Didn't they?

MR. RYON: They have.

MR. ROGERS: What is the amount of that?

A May I ask Mr. Ryon?

MR. ROGERS: Surely .

MR. RYON: It is to draw six per cent interest. I think they figure that is substantially accurate. It is not accurate to the last cent.

MR. ROGERS: In other words, the item of \$75,000 includes

26

į

the deficiency assessed, plus interest at six per cent?

MR. RYON: I cannot enswer that. I do not know whether he set it up including interest or not including interest.

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Also I notice that you set forth an estimated minimum cost to litigate this claim of \$16,000.

Have you retained counsel to represent you in that matter?

A Yes.

Q And who are they?

A Mr. Ryon.

Q Mr. Ryon. How long has that matter been in process at the present time?

A I do not know. Since -- it has been a couple of yests, hasn't it, Mr. Ryon?

MR. RYON: I beg pardon?

THE WITNESS: Hasn't it been since shortly efter Max' death?

MR. RYON: Yes. I will state this is an estimate upon the charge that now exists in connection with this litigation and is exclusive of the amount of retainers paid in the past which I do not think enter into this particular matter. That is an estimate, and, frankly, a very rough one, as to probable cost of expense and counsel fees, because we are making use of Connecticut counsel in the matter. Their fees are not set. We are going to litigation in the matter some time in Nevember, and we do not know how long it will take and we do not know whether we will be successful in that particular

phase of the litigation or not at this time, Mr. Rogers.

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Do you have any agreement, Mrs. Spreckels, with regard to attorneys' fees in that matter?

A No.

- Q No agreement whatever?
- A Not that I remamber.
- Q Where is that matter pending? In what court or before what court is that matter pending at the present time?

A I do not know, except it is to be in Connecticut.

MR. ROCEES: Perhaps Mr. Ryon could enswer that.

MR. RYON: The case has either been filed or is about to be filed before the courts of Connecticut, probably in Greenwich, with the thought that it will be certified over to the Supreme Court back there. That phase of litigation goes to the establishing of the fact that the spouse of Max von Romberg, the then spouse of Max von Romberg, surviving spouse, namely, Taily Hall von Romberg, was at the date of the death of Max von Romberg his next of kin.

MR. ROGERS: Is that now pending in the state court or in the Federal court?

MR. RYON: No Federal Court proceedings have yet been instituted. The matter, as far as the Federal Court side of the case is concerned, is now on the way to the technical staff. The deficiency has, in my understanding, been assessed and we have had our preliminary hearings before the field staff, and it is now on the way to the technical staff.

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

MR. ROGERS: That is the technical staff on the West

MR. RYON: The Revenue Department. That is right,

MR. ROGERS: In other words, it has not started on any proceedings yet through the Federal courts.

MR. RYON: That is right. We are starting the Connectiout proceedings prior to that, because that is the basis on which the Federal proceedings rest.

MR. PRICE: Will you stipulate, Mr. Ryon, that your statement may be deemed the answer of the witness?

MR. RYON: Certainly.

Q BY MR. ROGERS: The next item you set up as a liability is estimated household and personal accounts payable of
\$6,000. I would like a complete breakdown on that, Mrs.
Spreckels, and I suppose you cannot give it from semory. But
if we can be furnished with a statement of that, we would
like to have it.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Miss Finlay is not in town at the present time?

A I think she is here, but she always comes on the 8th or 10th to do my bills. I have a standing date with her.

MR. RYON: Off the record.

(Further discussion off the record.)

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Miss Finlay, as I understand it, has kept a record of your household accounts during the -- how

24

long a time has that gone on or have you had that arrangement with her?

- A Since I married Adolph.
- Q And she has now a record of your household sagenditures and income during that period of time?

A Yes.

MR. ROCERS: I would like to have a record of these also

MR. MC MAHON: For the entire married period?

MR. ROGERS: For the entire married period. Mr. Ryon, perhaps you can answer this question off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Mrs. Spreckels, in what banks do you have the accounts in which this cash in bank is held?

- A The County Mational; the Bankers Trust.
- Q Of New York?
- A Yes. And I think Security-First Rational here.

MR. RYON: I would have to check, but I think in the breakdown you have some cash on deposit in Wells Fargo.

- A Yes, in San Francisco.
- Q BY MR. ROGERS: In San Francisco.

MR. RYON: I do not know the amount, but I think you have

- Q BY MR. ROGERS: Those are the only banks in which those deposits are held; is that correct?
 - A I think so.
 - Q How much do you have on deposit, to the best of your

12

15

16

19

20

21

22

69

24

knowledge and recollection, in the Bankers Trust in New York?

A I have not any idea, but I would say maybe a couple of

thousand.

Q Now, with the information with which we will be supplied under these counts and under these questions that we have, this account which you have given is a true reflection of your total gross worth and your total income; is that correct?

A To the best of my knowledge it is. I gave everything I could possibly think of to Mr. Ryon.

Q I see that there is noitem here for personal effects, jewelry and of clothing. What valuation would you place upon your property of that character?

A I do not know. I spent about \$8,000 for clothes this year and I have no idea what I had before. I had a \$10,000 mink coat and a thousand dollar beaver coat and a thousand dollar leopard coat, and probably miscellaneous dresses that probably cost me five or six thousand. And then this year I have spent about eight thousand.

Q That is for the clothing?

A Yes.

Q With regard to the jewelry, what is the situation on that?

A I do not know about that, either. I had a great deal when I married Adolph, and he gave me a good deal. He gave me half a dozen star sapphires that I suppose are worth six,

Deflets appoint supposed court sality between court

12

12

10

17

18

19

20

21

26

25

26

He gave me a star ruby that is worth about six or seven thousand. I guess. He gave me a diamond braceletth he paid \$13,000 for, and another one he paid \$5,000 for. He gave me a digarette case, diamond and ruby, -- I do not know what it cost. He gave me this wrist watch, and a diamond and ruby clip. I suppose it must be set up on the insurance policy with Terry & Terry. I suppose I have got \$100,000 worth of jewelry.

- Q About \$100,000 worth of jewelry?
- A Yos.
- Q You do carry insurance on that through Terry & Terry?
- A Most of 1t, yes.
- Q In addition to the gifts which you received from Mr. Spreckels, you did have numerous articles of jewelry prior to that time. Are they all covered by that insurance policy?

A The pieces I wear the most frequently are. If there is a piece that I am not wearing, I naturally take it off and put it in the safe, but I think bye and large that is most of it.

Q What is the value of the property which is not covered by that insurance policy at the present time?

MR. RYON: If you know.

A I do not know, because I would have to look at the policy to see what is there.

Q BY MR. ROGERS: You do not know what actually is

10

11

12

13

16

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

covered by the policy at this present moment?

A No.

Q But according to your best estimate you think in the neighborhood of \$100,000, all together, in jewelry?

A That may be -- I really do not know. It is awfully hard to say I have got that much. I have got a lot of jewelry and I know it is valuable, but I do not know what I am paying on. I know the insurance when it comes due almost knocks me ever, and I wish it didn't. I really do not know. I would have to ask Terry & Terry.

MR. ROGERS: I wonder if we could have a statement on that.

MR. RYON: Frankly, I do not think that jewelry and clothes are particularly material to this proceeding and I would want to think about it.

MR. ROGERS: That refers to the jewelry.

MR. RYON: Yes.

MR. ROGERS: I am asking merely as to the jewelry.

MR. RYON: And I do not think the clothes -- you asked about her clothes, and so forth.

MR. ROGERS: I did not include the clothes in this statement, Mr. Ryon; just the jewelry.

MR. RYON: Offhand, it cannot be income producing. We might have an appraisal made of it for you. This is off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

2

3

7

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. RYON: I will reserve my thought on that.

MR. ROGERS: If you are going to reserve your thought, we are going to have to continue the deposition, probably.

MR. RYON: Of course, you can do what you want to, but I am not going to decide at the present time.

MR. MC MAHON: We can handle that the same way we did the other.

(Further discussion off the record.)

Q BY MR. ROGERS: Mrs. Spreckels, of your own knowledge you do not know, I presume, how much you have spent in maintenance of the house, exclusive of your own personal maintenance during the past period of approximately a year and a half; is that correct? Or do you know?

A Yes.

Q And that is reflected in the books which are kept by you; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any agreement with regard to attorneys!

fees in this matter, Mrs. Spreckels?

A I do not balieve so.

Q Well, have you discussed with your counsel the question of attorneys' fees?

A No, I really did not, because I just always leave it to Mr. Ryon's discretion. He has been my lawyer for fifteen years, and I just get around to it.

10

32

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

26

Q You have not discussed that matter with him at all?

A No.

MR. ROGERS: I would like to offer this statement furnished to us in evidence at this time as part of the deposition.

(The document referred to is attached hereto and made a part of this deposition.)

MR. MC MAHON: Have you finished that part of the examination?

MR. ROGERS: Yes, at this moment. I may come back to it but at the present time I am going on to something else.

Q Mrs. Spreckels, you state that plaintiff and defendant separated on or about the 25th day of August, 1940. You left Mr. Spreckels on that date; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you have since that time lived separate and apart from him?

A Yes.

Q Mrs. Spreckels, have you ever travelled outside of the boundaries of the United States in the past five years?

MR. RYON: I object to the question is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial. Well, I will withdraw the objection. I think it is merely foundation for something else, isn't it, Mr. Rogers?

MR. ROGERS: Yes, it is.

MR. RYON: My objection, of course, is reserved under the

arian Y	r	
	1	Q When did the Baron von Romberg assume title?
	2	A About six months before our marriage.
	8	Q And after he had met you?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q And after you had contemplated marriage?
2	6	A No.
	7	Q Before you had contemplated marriage?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q That was a German title?
*	10	A Yes, sir.
	11	Q From what source did that title accrue to your hus-
	12	band?
L. HOMING	13	A Hie father.
D. L. M	NECT CONTROL OF THE PERSON OF	Q From his father?
	15	A You.
	16	Q His father was an officer in the German Army in the
	17	last war, isn't that right?
	18	A You.
	19	Q. In fact, he was one of the first German officers to
	20	te killed in the last war?
	ខ្ម	A Yes.
		Q Your husband was a German citizen at the time you
	28	married bin?
	24	A Yes.
	2.5	Q And he was until his death?
	26	A Yee, No

Q Whendid he change his citizenship?

CTFICAL RIPOTHE SUSTINGS COURT SANTA SARBARA, CALIFORNIA	

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

you not,	- a Dachshund?

- I have owned lots of them.
- Q You owned one particularly by the name of Swastika. didn't you?
 - Yes.
 - When was that?
 - That was when Max was alive.
- And how long ago? What I mean is, when during his lifetime was that?
- A I do not know when I had her. I would say two or three years ago. It would be more than that, because he has been dead two years. It would be three or four years ago.
 - It would be three or four years ago?
 - Yes.
 - That dog is not alive at the present time?
 - I gave her away.
 - You gave her away?
 - Yes.
 - Q When did you give her away?
 - Shortly after Max died.
 - Q How old are you, Mrs. Spreckels?
 - (No response.)
 - Why do you refuse to answer the question?
- A Because I do not believe in telling ages, and I have never told my age since I was 18 years old.
 - Q When were you 18?

14

16

17

18

19

20

A I do not know.

Q When did you last tell your age?

MR. RYON: I think this is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial. It is simply an attempt, as near as I can see, to embarrass the witness, with no possible connection.

MR. ROGERS: Possibly I can see a little farther with regard to that, Mr. Ryon.

MR. RYON: It may be stipulated, Mr. Rogers, that Mrs. Spreckels is over 21, if you wish to accept the stipulation.

MR. ROGERS: I will accept the stipulation, but it will not foreclose further inquiry along that line.

- Q Where were you born, Mrs. Spreckels?
- A In Montana.
- Q In Butte, Montana?
- A Yes.
- Q Were you born on the slope or in the flat, at your home? In the slope or in the flat?

MR. RYON: I think that is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial.

- A What do you mean by that?
- MR. ROGERS: I will withdraw the question.
- O When were you born?
- A What do you mean, when was I born?
- Q Yes.
- A That goes back to the question of my age, which I do not think has anything to do with the problem on hand.

25

	Q A1	1 tha	t you	had -	all	of	the	into	xica	ting	liquor
that	you b	ad th	e nig	ht befo	re y	our	mari	isge	Was	two	glasse e
of ol	nampag	ne?									

- A About, yes.
- Q Where was that?
- A At the Fairmont Hotel.
- Q In San Francisco?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, Mrs. Spreckels, you enjoyed very much the title of the Baroness von Romberg, did you not?

A I do not know what you mean by "enjoy it". I was proud of my husband and proud of his name.

- Q And you were proud of the title?
- A I would not emphasize on the title. I think the title is rather unimportant.
- Q Didn't you get a lot of personal satisfaction and pleasure out of the fact that you were the Baroness von Romberg?

(Witness nods head in the negative.)

- Q Do you know Leni Reifenstahl?
- A I have met her.
- Q In fact, you entertained her in your home in Santa Barbara, did you not?
 - A She has been in my home, yes.
 - Q How long was she there?
 - A An hour.